	ed States Paten	IT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,216	11/25/2003	Toshiya Yuasa	03560.003402	4985
5514 7590 12/27/2006 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			CORDRAY, DENNIS R	
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/27/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		C			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\frown		
	10/720,216	YUASA, TOSHIYA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
· · · ·	Dennis Cordray	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication			••		
Period for Reply					
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2}$	20 October 2006.				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merit	s is		
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on .	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) $3-7$ is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·				
	miner				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	• • • • • • •		21(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eion priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		3			
1. Certified copies of the priority docun	nents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· <u></u>	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🛄 Other:	·			
IS Patent and Trademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 10/20/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 3 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Struck et al does not disclose a recording sheet comprising the disclosed copolymer. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made as detailed below.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 3-7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on p 10 that either Kawai et al or the combination of Kawai et al and Ali et al requires a crosslinking monomer to be present and that the disclosed crosslinking monomers do not correspond to either of the claimed monomers represented by formulae (1) and (2). Note that the claim language is open, reciting a sizing agent comprising a vinyl copolymer <u>having</u> repeat units of general formulae (1) and (2). The word "having" is considered by the Examiner to be equivalent to "comprising" and thus does not prohibit other monomeric units (i.e.-a crosslinking monomer) from being present. The referenced art meets the limitations of the claim by disclosing a polymer comprising at least a cationic monomer and a hydrophilic monomer and a ratio of the cationic to hydrophilic monomers that overlaps the claimed ratio.

In regard to combining the disclosures of Kawai et al and Ali et al, Ali et al is only used to teach that it is known in the art that hydroxy alkyl(meth)acrylates and alkoxy

Page 3

alkyl(meth)acrylates are hydrophilic monomers used in copolymers used in ink receptive

coatings for recording sheets.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Struck et al (US 2003/0212183).

Struck et al discloses a dispersion comprising a cationic copolymer dispersant

that is added to the furnish as part of a retention aid in a papermaking process

(Abstract; p 1, par 2). The cationic copolymer dispersant comprises a cationic vinyl

monomer (m3) (p 1, par 11). Preferred monomers are (meth)acryloyl-oxyethyl-

trimethylammonium chloride (p 2, par 27 to p 3, par 28), which is described by formula

(1) of the instant invention. The copolymer also comprises a second monomer (m4),

preferred examples of which are methoxypolyethylene glycol methacrylate,

poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether acrylate, di(ethylene glycol) ethyl ether

(meth)acrylate, ethylene glycol methyl ether (meth)acrylate, which are described by

formula (2) of the instant invention (p 1, par 11; p 3, par 29). The copolymer comprises

80 to 99.9 mole percent of monomer m3 and 0.1 to 20% of monomer m4 (p 3, pars 28-

29). The weight average molecular weight of the copolymer is from 20,000 to 5,000,000

g/mole (p 3, par 30). The disclosed copolymer significantly overlaps and thus anticipates the claimed copolymer.

Polymeric additives to papermaking can simultaneously serve multiple purposes, thus the polymer of Struck et al is capable of serving as a dispersant as well as an engine sizing agent.

Struck et al does not explicitly disclose a paper comprising the polymer. Struck et al does disclose a process for making paper wherein the copolymer is added to a papermaking suspension that contains fibers and fillers (pp 3-4, par 38). Applicant admits in the instant Disclosure that a plain paper is a recording medium (p 20, lines 1-2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make a paper by a method comprising adding the copolymer of Struck et al to the fibrous suspension and to use the paper as a recording paper.

Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawai et al (6465078) in view of Ali et al (5712027).

Kawai et al discloses a recording sheet having an ink absorbing layer that comprises a cationic polymer having a cationic monomer, a crosslinking monomer and a hydrophilic monomer (Abstract; col 4, lines 1-9). The cationic monomer can be dimethylaminoethyl (meth)acrylate or other di- C_{1-4} alkylamino- C_{1-3} alkyl (meth)acrylates quaternized with methyl chloride, which are described by formula (1) of the instant invention (col 4, lines 15-37). The hydrophilic monomers include, but are not limited to, hydroxyethyl (meth)acrylate, diethylene glycol mono(meth)acrylate and triethylene

glycol mono(meth)acrylate (col 5, lines 20-45). The cationic monomer can be present in an amount of 0.1 to 50% of the monomers and the hydrophilic monomer can be present in an amount of 0 to 50% of the total monomers (col 6, lines 16-30), thus the ratio of cationic to hydrophilic monomers [analogous to the claimed ratio (i):(ii)] can be 0.2:100 to 100:0. The molecular weight of the copolymer can be from 2,000 to 1,000,000 and preferably from 10,000 to 500,000 (col 7, lines 1-4). The ink absorbing layer can be formed by coating the substrate (base paper) with the coating composition comprising the above copolymer (sizing agent) in a suitable solvent, such as water (col 12, lines 48-52). An example is given of a coating solution containing 86.5 parts (30 parts nonvolatile acrylate copolymer) and 700 parts other aqueous solution, or 3.8% by weight (col 14, lines 31-37). Other examples of coating solutions are disclosed, which contain the acrylate copolymer in an amount from 3 to 7.7% by weight (col 14, line 45 to col 15, line 24).

Kawai et al does not disclose a hydrophilic monomer having an alkoxy polyethylene glycol group.

Ali et al discloses a substrate having an ink receptive coating comprising a copolymer having hydrophilic monomers and teaches that hydrophilic monomers include hydroxy alkyl(meth)acrylates and alkoxy alkyl(meth)acrylates (Abstract; col 11, lines 38-46; col 12, lines 21-22 and 65-67).

The art of Kawai et al, Ali et al and the instant invention are analogous as pertaining to coatings applied to printing or recording sheets. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an alkoxy alkyl(meth)acrylate (described

by formula 2 of the instant invention) as the hydrophilic monomer in the copolymer of Kawai et al in view of Ali et al as a functionally equivalent option.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis Cordray whose telephone number is 571-272-8244. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 7:30 -4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ERIC HUG

DRC